

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RICHARD A. MINFORD,

Petitioner,

v.

BERKS COUNTY (INC.)/COUNTY OF
BERKS (INC.), along with its OFFICES,
Employees, agencies and instrumentalities;
and VARIOUS UNKNOWN OR
UN-NAMED AGENTS AND
INSTRUMENTALITIES a/k/a JOHN/JANE
DOE(S),

Respondents.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 14-mc-224

ORDER

AND NOW, this 9th day of December, 2014, the court having issued an order on September 29, 2014, requesting that the *pro se* petitioner, Richard A. Minford, show cause why the court should not dismiss this case for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction (Doc. No. 2); and after considering the petition for declaratory judgment (Doc. No. 1), the response to the order to show cause (Doc. No. 4), and the exhibit accompanying the response (Doc. No. 5) filed by the petitioner; accordingly, for the reasons set forth in the September 29, 2014 memorandum opinion (Doc. No. 3) and the memorandum opinion accompanying this order, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the petition is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.

BY THE COURT:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Edward G. Smith, J.', written over a horizontal line.

EDWARD G. SMITH, J.